10 Elul 5778 August 21, 2018



Menachos Daf 11

Produced by Rabbi Avrohom Adler, Kollel Boker Beachwood

Daf Notes is currently being dedicated to the neshamot of

# Moshe Raphael ben Yehoshua (Morris Stadtmauer) o"h

Tzvi Gershon ben Yoel (Harvey Felsen) o"h

May the studying of the Daf Notes be a zechus for their neshamot and may their souls find peace in Gan Eden and be bound up in the Bond of life

## Pebble or Salt

It was taught in a *Mishna*: If someone performed a *kemitzah* and either a pebble, some salt, or a small amount of *levonah* (*frankincense*) came into his hand, it is invalid.

The *Gemora* explains why it was necessary to teach all these *halachos*: A pebble is not something that is offered, but salt is; perhaps the salt would be valid. Salt was not established with the *minchah* in the beginning; the *levonah* was; perhaps the *levonah* would be valid. The *Mishna* informs us that they are all invalid.

The Mishna had stated: [If someone performed a kemitzah and either a pebble, some salt, or a small amount of levonah (frankincense) came into his hand, it is invalid] because the halachah is that if the komeitz was too much or too little, it is invalid.

The *Gemora* asks: Should it not be invalid because it is an interposition (*between the flour and his hand, or between the flour itself, for it appears as if it is two komeitz's*)!?

Rabbi Yirmiyah answers: The case is where it (*the salt or pebble*) is on the side (*so it is not interfering at all*). (10b – 11a)

## Kemitzah

Abaye asked Rava: What is the correct manner to perform a *kemitzah*?

Rava said: It is the way people scoop (*using all their fingers*).

Abaye challenged this from a *braisa*: The smallest finger is the zeres (the distance from the little finger to the thumb of a spread hand; and it is used for measuring the Kohen Gadol's breastplate); the fourth finger is the kemitzah (and it is used for taking a scoop of the flour-offering); the middle finger is the amah (and it is used for defining the cubit measure; the cubit is a measure equal to the distance from the elbow to the tip of the middle finger); the index finger is the etzbah (and it is used to apply the blood of a chatas-offering on the Altar); and the thumb is the gudel (and blood from offerings are sometimes applied there). [Evidently, kemitzah is not with all the fingers!?]

Rava answers: [*The three middle fingers contain the komeitz flour*] The outside fingers level the flour (*so that there shouldn't be too much*).

Rav Zutra bar Tuvya said in the name of Rav: He bends his three fingers until he reaches the palm of his hand and then scoops a handful of flour.

The *Gemora* cites a *braisa* to this effect: It is written: *And he shall take full komeitz*. Now one might think that it should therefore be overflowing; another verse therefore states: *in his komeitz*. But from that verse, one might think that it may be taken with the finger tips; it is therefore

- 1 -

Visit us on the web at dafnotes.com or email us at info@dafnotes.com to subscribe © Rabbi Avrohom Adler L'zecher Nishmas HaRav Raphael Dov ben HaRav Yosef Yechezkel Marcus O"H



written: *a full komeitz*. How is it then done? He should bend his three fingers over until he reaches the palm of his hand and then scoop a handful. In the case of a *machavas* (*the loaves are hard, for they were fried on a shallow, flat griddle, and the fire burns off the oil*) or a *marcheshes* (*the loaves are soft, for they are fried in a deep pan, and the fire doesn't burn off the oil*), he must level it with his thumb on top and with his little finger below. And this was a very difficult service in the Temple.

The Gemora asks: This, and none other? Was there not the melikah and the chafinah (where the Kohen Gadol on Yom Kippur took both hands full of incense and offered it in the Holy of Holies)!? [It is mentioned by both of these services that they indeed are very difficult services in the Temple!?]

The *Gemora* answers: The *braisa* meant that this was one of the most difficult services in the Temple.

Rav Pappa said: It is obvious to me that one must perform the *kemitzah* in the manner which people normally scoop. What is the *halachah*, however, if one performed the *kemitzah* with his fingertips (*he inserted his fingers into the flour and then closed his fingers to his palm*)? What is the *halachah* if he performed the *kemitzah* from the side (*he placed the back of hand into the flour and the flour came into his hand from over the sides*)? What if he did it from the bottom up (*he placed the back of hand into the flour and scooped the flour into his palm with his fingers*)? The *Gemora* leaves these questions unresolved.

Rav Pappa said that *chafinah* must be performed in the manner which people normally fill their hands (*by holding one's* hands apart from each other with the side of each hand facing down; he then inserts his hands into the ketores, brings them together and then lifts them). What is the halachah, however, if one performed the *chafinah* with his fingertips? What is the halachah if he performed the *chafinah* from the side? What if he picked up some *ketores* in one hand and some in the other, and then brought them together? The *Gemora* leaves these questions unresolved.

Rav Pappa inquires further: What would be the *halachah* if he placed the *kometz* on the side of the vessel. Is the requirement merely that the *kometz* should be in the vessel, and it is, or perhaps it must be placed down properly in the vessel, and it is not? The *Gemora* leaves this question unresolved.

Mar bar Rav Ashi inquires as to what the *halachah* would be if the vessel was turned inside out and the *komeitz* was placed inside. Is the requirement that the *kometz* should be placed inside, and it is, or perhaps it must be placed down in a normal manner?

The Gemora leaves this question unresolved. (11a)

### Mishna

How does he perform a *kemitzah*? He should bend his three fingers over until he reaches the palm of his hand (*and then scoop a handful*).

If there is too much oil, too little oil, or it is missing *levonah*, it is invalid. (11a)

#### Too Much Oil

Rabbi Eliezer explains the case of too much oil: He designated two *logs* of oil for one *minchah* offering (*and he then mixed both logs into it*).

The *Gemora* asks: Why can the case not be referring to one where he mixed (*any amount of*) unconsecrated oil into it, or If he mixed (*any amount of*) oil that was designated for



another *minchah* into this one? This would obviously invalidate a *minchah*, for otherwise, says Rav Zutra bar Tuvya, a sinner's *minchah* (*which contains no oil*) would never have this type of invalidation (*for even if one designated oil for it. It will remain unconsecrated*)!

The *Gemora* answers: It was written in a "it's not necessary" format. It is not necessary to state the case where he mixed unconsecrated oil, or oil that was designated for another *minchah* into this one, for that is certainly invalid; but in the case where he designated two *logs* of oil for it, where each *log* is fit for it, perhaps (*if he mixed them both in*) it would not be invalidated. Rabbi Eliezer informs us that this is not the case. (11a)

#### Missing Levonah

The *Mishna* had stated that if it is missing *levonah*, it is invalid.

The *Gemora* cites a *braisa*: If it (*the levonah*) became deficient and now had only one particle, it is invalid. If it had two particles, it is valid; these are the words of Rabbi Yehudah. Rabbi Shimon said: If it had one particle, it is valid; less than that, however, it is invalid. A different *braisa* contradicts this: Rabbi Shimon said: If the *komeitz* of *levonah* became deficient in any amount, it is invalid.?

The *Gemora* answers: The *braisa* means that if a particle of *levonah* became deficient in any amount, it is invalid.

Alternatively, the *Gemora* answers that the first *braisa* is referring to *levonah* that is offered with the *minchah* (and *it is valid as long as some of it remains*); the second *braisa* is referring to *levonah* that is offered by itself (and then the ruling is that if it is deficient at all, it is invalid).

- 3 -

Rav Yitzchak the son of Rav Yosef said in the name of Rabbi Yochanan: There are three dissenting opinions regarding this: Rabbi Meir maintains that there must be a *komeitz* of *levonah* in the beginning (*at the time of kemitzah*) and a *komeitz* of *levonah* at the end (*when it is being burned*). Rabbi Yehudah holds that there must be a *komeitz* of *levonah* in the beginning and two particles of *levonah* at the end. And Rabbi Shimon holds that there must be a *komeitz* of *levonah* in the beginning and one particle of *levonah* at the end. They all derive their respective opinions from the same Scriptural verse (*but they all reach different conclusions*).

And Rav Yitzchak the son of Rav Yosef also said in the name of Rabbi Yochanan: The above argument is only regarding *levonah* that was offered together with the *minchah*; however, regarding *levonah* that was brought by itself, everyone agrees that there must be a *komeitz* of *levonah* in the beginning and a *komeitz* of *levonah* at the end.

And Rav Yitzchak the son of Rav Yosef also said in the name of Rabbi Yochanan: The above argument is only regarding *levonah* that was offered together with the *minchah*; however, regarding *levonah* that was brought in the spoons (*with the lechem hapanim*), everyone agrees that there must be two *komeitz's* of *levonah* in the beginning and two *komeitz's* of *levonah* at the end. It is not regarded as *levonah* that comes together with a *minchah*.

Rabbi Ami and Rabbi Yitzchak Nafcha argue about this: One says that the argument is only regarding *levonah* that was offered together with the *minchah*; however, regarding *levonah* that was brought by itself, everyone agrees that there must be a *komeitz* of *levonah* in the beginning and a *komeitz* of *levonah* at the end; and the other one that the dispute is regarding both cases. (11a – 11b)