



Chullin Daf 131



Produced by Rabbi Avrohom Adler, Kollel Boker Beachwood

Daf Notes is currently being dedicated to the neshamot of

## Moshe Raphael ben Yehoshua (Morris Stadtmauer) o"h Tzvi Gershon ben Yoel (Harvey Felsen) o"h

May the studying of the Daf Notes be a zechus for their neshamot and may their souls find peace in Gan Eden and be bound up in the Bond of life

## Levites

There was once a *Levi* who used to snatch the *Kohanic* gifts. When this was reported to Rav, he said: Is it not enough for him that we do not take the gifts from his own slaughtering, but he must also snatch them?

The *Gemora* asks: But what was Rav's view? If the Levites are included within the term 'the nation,' we should exact the gifts from them as well; and if they are not included within the term 'the nation,' then the Torah has exempted them?

The *Gemora* answers: Rav was in doubt whether they are included within the term 'the nation,' or not.<sup>1</sup>

Rav Pappa was once sitting and reciting the above statement, whereupon Rav Iddi bar Avin raised this objection against Rav Pappa from the following *braisa*: The four gifts assigned by the Torah to the poor in a vineyard, namely *peret* (the fallen grapes at the time of picking), oleilos (a small, underdeveloped cluster of grapes), shich'chah (clusters that are mistakenly left behind during the gathering of the bundles are left for the poor), and pe'ah (the corner of the vineyard), and the three applicable to grain, namely leket (one or two

ears of grain that fall from his hand while harvesting must be left for the poor), shich'chah and pe'ah, and the two which apply to the fruit of the tree, namely shich'chah and pe'ah — with regard of these, the owners do not have not the benefit of gratitude (to give to who they please),<sup>2</sup> and even from the poorest in Israel they are exacted. With regard to the poorman's ma'aser, which is distributed in the house, the owner has the benefit of gratitude, and it is exacted even from the poorest in Israel. The other Kohanic gifts, such as the foreleg, jaws and the abomasum are not exacted from one Kohen in favor of another Kohen, nor from one Levi in favor of another Levi.

The *Gemora* cites the Scriptural sources for all the above *halachos*.

Rav Iddi's question was as follows: The *braisa* had stated that the other *Kohanic* gifts, such as the foreleg, jaws and the abomasum are not exacted from one *Kohen* in favor of another *Kohen*, nor from one *Levi* in favor of another *Levi*. It follows, however, that they may be exacted from a *Levi* in favor of a *Kohen!* Apparently, this is because they are included within the term 'the nation'!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> But they are to be left on the field free to all the poor, and the first poor person that collects them acquires them.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> And therefore no Kohen could claim the dues from Levites without bringing evidence to prove they are subject to this law.





The *Gemora* answers: It only meant such as the foreleg, but not actually the foreleg. What is really meant is ma'aser rishon.

The Gemora asks: But isn't ma'aser rishon due to the Levi?

The *Gemora* answers: The view expressed here is that of in accordance with the ruling of Rabbi Elozar ben Azaryah, for it has been taught in a *braisa*: *Terumah Gedolah* belongs to the *Kohen*, and *ma'aser rishon* belongs to the Levite; so said Rabbi Akiva. Rabbi Elozar ben Azariah said: *Ma'aser* is given to the *Kohen* (and not to the Levi, for Ezra penalized them)<sup>3</sup>.

The *Gemora* asks: Perhaps Ezra had penalized them that one should not give the *ma'aser rishon* to them, but did he intend that it should be taken away from them?

The *Gemora* therefore says that 'such as the foreleg, but not actually the foreleg' meant the first of the fleece.

The Gemora challenges Rav's viewpoint and concludes that it is a matter of a Tannaic dispute, for it was taught in a braisa: And he shall atone for the Holy of Holies - this means (for any "tumah" sin committed in) the Holy of Holies; and the Tent of Meeting -this refers to the Sanctuary; and the altar<sup>4</sup> - this is to be understood in its literal sense; he shall atone - this refers to all "tumah" sins committed in the Courtyard. The braisa continues by saying that the full list of atonement enumerated by the verse – al hakohanim – to the Kohanim; kol am

hakahal refers to the whole nation; he shall atone – this means the Levi'im. And another braisa taught: he shall atone – this means the slaves. Surely then the Tannaim differ in this: one holds that they (the Levites) are included under the term 'the nation,' 5 and the other holds that they are not.

The *Gemora* asks: And Rav!? If he agrees with the one *Tanna*, he should have ruled accordingly, and if he agrees with the other *Tanna*, he should have ruled accordingly?

The *Gemora* answers: Rav was in doubt whether to accept the ruling of the one *Tanna* or of the other.

Mereimar stated in a discourse: The law is in accordance with Rav's view; and the law is also in accordance with Rav Chisda's view. (131a - 131b)

## **DAILY MASHAL**

## Not to Despair

Rabbi Chayim Ben Atar explained: Even if someone sinned and is punished with *kareis* and his life will be cropped – "and when you crop the harvest of your land, do not finish the corner of your field to crop" – do not finish your soul till the last root, saying what have I got to lose? There's always a chance to repent (*Or HaChayim*, Vayikra 19:9).

person entered the Temple court in a state of tumah; and the atonement is extended to include every section of the community. 
<sup>5</sup> Sc., the Tanna of the latter Baraisa. It is therefore unnecessary to have a special reference in the verse to include Levites, consequently the reference serves to include heathen slaves.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Because the Levites did not go up with him in the return to Judea from the Babylonian exile, Ezra deprived them of the tithe.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The bull and the goat prescribed in the sacrificial service of Yom Kippur make atonement for all transgressions of the rules of tumah occurring in the several parts of the Temple precincts, e.g., if any

