

Mishna

A *nazir* had the blood of one of his *korbanos* sprinkled on the Altar, but then proceeded to become *tamei* (*before the other korbanos were brought*). Rabbi Eliezer says: The *tumah* causes him to forfeit his *nezirus* (*see Gemora below for explanation*). The *Chachamim* say: He should bring the rest of his *korbanos* when he becomes *tahor* (*and does not have to redo his nezirus*).

They said to Rabbi Eliezer: There was an incident with Miriam the Tarmudis, where the blood of one of her *korbanos* was sprinkled, and afterwards she was told that one of her daughters was deathly ill. She went home immediately and found that her daughter had died. The sages ruled that she should bring the rest of her *korbanos* after she became *tahor* again. (47a)

Rabbi Eliezer's Position

The *Gemora* asks: Rabbi Eliezer says in our *Mishna* that all of the days must be redone.

However, Rabbi Eliezer is also recorded as saying that anytime the *tumah* happens after the days of *nezirus* are over, only seven days must be redone!?

Rav answers: What does Rabbi Eliezer mean when he says that this "breaks" the *nezirus* (*in our Mishna*)? He means that the *korbanos* are broken. [However, only seven days of nezirus must be redone.] This is understandable in light of the *Chachamim's* comment that she can bring the rest of the *korbanos* when she becomes tahor. [This implies that Rabbi Eliezer was arguing that she must bring all of her korbanos again.]

This also fits with the last part of the *Mishna*. They said to Rabbi Eliezer: There was an incident with Miriam the Tarmudis where the blood of one of her *korbanos* was sprinkled, and afterwards she was told that one of her daughters was deathly ill. She went home immediately and found that her daughter had died. The sages ruled that she should bring the rest of her *korbanos* after she became *tahor*



again. (We see from here that their dispute was with respect to the korbanos.) (47a)

WE SHALL RETURN TO YOU, SHELOSHA MININ

Mishna

A Kohen Gadol and nazir cannot become tamei to their relatives, but they can become tamei to a meis mitzvah. If they were traveling together and they happened across a meis mitzvah, (who should become tamei)? Rabbi Eliezer says: The Kohen Gadol should become tamei and not the nazir. The Chachamim say: The nazir should become tamei instead of even an ordinary Kohen becoming tamei. Rabbi Eliezer told them: Let a Kohen become tamei as he does not have to bring a korban for becoming tamei, and do not let the *nazir* become *tamei*, as he will have to bring a korban! They answered him: Better that the *nazir* should become *tamei*, as his holiness is not forever, as opposed to a Kohen, whose holiness is forever! (47a)

Who Should Become Tamei?

The Gemora states: It is understandable that they argue regarding a Kohen Gadol and nazir, as one opinion is that the Kohen Gadol is better (has *more sanctity*) and the other is that the *nazir* is better. An anointed *Kohen Gadol* is better than one who is only Kohen Gadol because he wears the many clothes of a Kohen Gadol, as the anointed one brings the special bull offering for the sin of a Kohen Gadol (unlike one who is not anointed). An anointed Kohen Gadol who was removed from being Kohen Gadol is not as good as one who is Kohen Gadol, even if he was not anointed, as the latter is performing the service of the Beis Hamikdash while the former is not (see Tosfos for an alternate explanation). If one Kohen was temporarily unable to perform the services because of a seminal emission (known as a "ba'al keri" who is temporarily tamei), he is better than one who was unable to perform the services because of a blemish, as the former is able to return the next day, while the other must wait until he is healed. [In all of these cases, the better one should not become tamei to the meis mitzvah if the other one can become tamei instead.]

The Gemora inquires: Who is better: A mashuach milchamah (the Kohen in charge of leading the people into battle) or the assistant Kohen Gadol? Is the mashuach milchamah better because he is the one who leads the people to war? Or is the



assistant *Kohen Gadol* better as he can perform the service?

The *Gemora* attempts to answer this question from a *braisa*. The *braisa* states: There is no difference between a *mashuach milchamah* and an assistant *Kohen Gadol* besides that if both of them were walking together and they saw a *meis mitzvah*, the *mashuach milchamah* should become *tamei* and not the assistant.

The *Gemora* asks: Doesn't another *braisa* state that the *mashuach milchamah* is better than the assistant?

Mar Zutra answers: Regarding keeping them alive, the mashuach milchamah is better. Why? This is because the public depends upon him. Regarding tumah, the assistant Kohen Gadol is preferred. This can be understood from the following braisa: Rabbi Chanina ben Antignos said: Why was it instituted to have an assistant Kohen Gadol? It is in order that if the Kohen Gadol suddenly becomes tamei, the assistant can serve in his place (*it is therefore of essence* that he not become tamei).

The *Gemora* asks: This entire discussion above is regarding a *nazir* and *Kohen Gadol* who are

walking together. This implies that it is clear that if each one is walking by himself and he encounter a *meis mitzvah*, he must become *tamei*. How do we know this?

The Gemora answers by quoting a braisa. The braisa states: "He should not come upon any dead people." What is this verse talking about? If it is referring to people who are not relatives, this would be obvious! If a regular Kohen who can only become tamei to immediate relatives cannot become tamei to non-relatives, certainly a Kohen Gadol cannot become tamei to non-relatives!

It therefore must mean that he cannot become *tamei* to relatives, such as his father. However, he could become *tamei* to a *meis mitzvah*. (47a – 47b)

DAILY MASHAL

Nezirus from Birth

The *Mishna* states: A *Kohen Gadol* and *nazir* cannot become *tamei* to their relatives, but they can become *tamei* to a *meis mitzvah*. If they

Visit us on the web at dafnotes.com or email us at info@dafnotes.com to subscribe © Rabbi Avrohom Adler L'zecher Nishmas HaRav Raphael Dov ben HaRav Yosef Yechezkel Marcus O"H



were traveling together and they happened across a *meis mitzvah*, (who should become tamei)? Rabbi Eliezer says: The Kohen Gadol should become tamei and not the nazir. The Chachamim say: The nazir should become tamei instead of even an ordinary Kohen becoming tamei. Rabbi Eliezer told them: Let a Kohen become tamei as he does not have to bring a korban for becoming tamei, and do not let the nazir become tamei, as he will have to bring a korban! They answered him: Better that the nazir should become tamei, as his holiness is not forever, as opposed to a Kohen, whose holiness is forever!

The Tosfos Yom Tov asks in the name of his son: We learned above (28b) that a father can impose upon his son a *nezirus* vow, and he is even allowed to render him a permanent *nazir*, like we find by Shmuel. It emerges that a *nazir* can be a *nazir* forever! Why did the *Mishna* not differentiate between a *nazir* forever and an ordinary *nazir*? The *halacha* that the *nazir* should become *tamei* before the *Kohen Gadol* should only apply by an ordinary *nazir*!

The Tosfos Yom Tov answers: We are compelled to say that the *nezirus* does not take effect immediately upon birth, for as long as the son does not know how to be careful with respect to the *halachos* of *nezirus*, how can he be rendered a *nazir*? And even though, when he is first born and his mother is watching him in a crib, but as soon as he is capable of walking, his mother's surveillance will not be sufficient, for she is preoccupied with her daily chores. Not everyone has the means to hire a full-time babysitter, who will ensure and guarantee that the child will not violate any of the laws of *nezirus*. We therefore must say that nezirus does not become effective upon the child until he reaches the age of chinuch, where he has the ability himself to make certain that he will not violate the halachos of nezirus. It emerges that there is no case where someone can be a *nazir* forever, and a *Kohen* is therefore regarded as one who has a higher level of sanctity.