

Bava Basra Daf 16

Produced by Rabbi Avrohom Adler, Kollel Boker Beachwood

Daf Notes is currently being dedicated to the neshamot of

Moshe Raphael ben Yehoshua (Morris Stadtmauer) o"h

Tzvi Gershon ben Yoel (Harvey Felsen) o"h

May the studying of the Daf Notes be a zechus for their neshamot and may their souls find peace in Gan Eden and be bound up in the Bond of life

lyov

It is written: Hashem said to the Satan, "Have you paid attention to My servant Iyov? There is none like him in the world etc. and you turned Me against him to destroy him without cause!" Rabbi Yochanan said: Were it not expressly stated in the Scripture, we would not dare to say it on our own. Hashem is made to appear like a mortal who allows Himself to be persuaded by others.

A Tanna taught in a Baraisa: The Satan comes down to earth and seduces people into sinning. He then ascends to Heaven and awakens wrath against the sinner. Permission is granted to him and he takes away the sinner's soul (by killing him).

It is written: And Satan answered Hashem and said, "Limb for limb, yes, all that a man has he will he give up for his life (a person would give away all of his monetary possessions in order to save his life). But, stretch out your hand now and hit his bones and his flesh, and he will bless (renounce) You to Your face." And Hashem said to the Satan, "Behold, he is in your hands; only spare his life." The Satan went forth from the presence of Hashem and smote lyov etc.

Rabbi Yitzchak said: The Satan's suffering (that he must inflict pain on Iyov, but he could not kill him) was worse than that of Iyov. A fitting parable is that of a servant who is told by his master, "Break the cask of wine, but protect the wine inside of it."

- 1 -

Rish Lakish said: Satan, the Evil Inclination, and the Angel of Death are all one. He then cites Scriptural verses to prove this. He is called Satan, as it is written: And Satan went forth from the presence of Hashem. He is called the Evil Inclination. [We know this because] it is written in another place: [Every imagination of the thoughts of his heart] was only evil continually, and it is written here [in connection with Satan]: Only do not lay your hand upon him. The same is also the Angel of Death, since it says: Only spare his life, which shows that Iyov's life belonged to him.

Rabbi Levi said: Both Satan and Peninah's intentions were for the sake of Heaven. The Satan, when he saw that the Holy One, Blessed be He, inclined to favor Iyov, he said, "Heaven forbid that He should forget the mercy of Avraham" (towards the Jewish people; that is why he showed Iyov's shortcomings). Of Peninah it is written: And Chana's co-wife Peninah provoked her repeatedly to make her fret (and this way, Chana will pray to Hashem for children).

When Rav Acha bar Yaakov gave this exposition in Papunia, the Satan came and kissed his feet (as a sign of gratitude).

Despite all this, lyov did not sin with his lips. Rava, based on this verse, said that lyov did not sin with his lips, but he did sin in his heart. What did he say? The earth is given into the hand of the wicked, he covers the faces of its judges; if it be not so, where and who is he? Rava said: lyov, because of his suffering, wished to "turn the plate



upside down" (to blaspheme God by saying that He does not control the world). Abaye said to Rava: Iyov, in his thoughts, was only referring to the Satan.

The Gemara shows that this is actually a Tannaic dispute between Rabbi Eliezer and Rabbi Yehoshua. The earth is given into the hand of the wicked. Rabbi Eliezer said: Iyov sought to turn the dish upside down. Rabbi Yehoshua said to him: Iyov was only referring to the Satan.

Iyov said: Were it Your intention, I would not be wicked, and there is none that can deliver out of Your hand. Rava said: Iyov sought to exonerate the whole world from judgment. He said, "Master of the Universe, You have created the ox with cloven hoofs and You have created the donkey with whole hoofs; You have created Gan Eden and You have created Gehinnom; You have created righteous men and You have created wicked men, and who can prevent You (therefore people should not be punished for sinning, for they are compelled to do so)!"

What did his companions answer him? Certainly, you will mitigate fear and diminish prayers to God. The Holy One, Blessed be he, created the Evil Inclination; He also created the Torah as its antidote.

Rava expounded: What is meant by the verse: The blessing of the wretched was directed towards me, and I brought joy to the widow's heart. 'The blessing of the wretched was directed towards me' – This teaches us that Iyov used to rob fields from orphans, improve them and then restore them to their owners. 'And I brought joy to the widow's heart' - If ever there was a widow who could not find a husband, he used to associate his name with her (as if he was a relative), and then someone would soon come and marry her.

The Gemara cites several verses which demonstrate lyov's blasphemous ways: Would that my vexation be weighed, and my experience placed in the scale; let them be borne together. Rav said: Dirt should be put in Iyov's mouth, because he makes himself as a colleague of God (as if to tell Him, "Let's make a calculation and decide who owes whom").

Another example: Would there be an arbiter between us, that he might lay his hand upon us both. Rav said: Dirt should be put in Iyov's mouth; is there a servant who argues with his master?

It is written: I made a covenant with my eyes; why then would look upon a virgin? Rava said: Dirt should be put in lyov's mouth; he said that he refrained from looking at other men's wives. Avraham did not even look at his own, as it is written: Behold now I know that you are a beautiful woman, which shows that up until then, he did not know.

As the cloud is consumed and vanishes away, so he that goes down to the grave shall not rise. Rava said that this verse indicates that Iyov denied that there will be a Resurrection of the Dead.

For He breaks me with a [s'arah] whirlwind and multiplied my wounds without cause. Rabbah said: Iyov angered God with the word s'arah and he was answered with the word s'arah. He angered God with the word s'arah, as it is written: For He breaks me with a [s'arah] whirlwind. Iyov said: "Master of the Universe! Perhaps a whirlwind has passed before You, and caused You to confuse lyov with 'oyev' (enemy; and my punishment was nothing but a mistake)." He was answered with the word s'arah, as it is written: Then Hashem answered lyov out of the [s'arah] whirlwind and said etc. Gird up your loins like a warrior, for I will put questions to you, and you will enlighten Me. Hashem said to him, "I have created many hairs on a man, and for every hair I have created a separate groove, so that two hairs should not grow from the same groove, for if two were to grow from the same groove they would darken the sight of a man. I do not confuse one groove with another; would I then confuse Iyov with 'oyev'?"



Who opened a channel for the rushing waters [t'aleh]? Hashem continued, "I have created many drops of rain in the clouds, and for every drop a separate channel, so that two drops should not issue from the same channel, since if two drops issued from the same channel they would wash away the soil, and it would not yield produce. I do not confuse one drop with another, and shall I confuse Iyov and 'oyev'?" - How do we know that the word t'aleh here means a channel? Rabbah bar Shila replied: Because it is written: And he [Eliyahu] made a channel [t'aleh] as great as would contain two se'ahs of seed.

And who made a way for the clouds [which carry] the blasts of thunder. Hashem continued, "I have created many thunderclaps in the clouds, and for each clap a separate path, so that two claps should not travel by the same path, since if two claps travelled by the same path they would demolish the world. I do not confuse one thunderclap with another, and shall I confuse Iyov and 'oyev'?"

Can you know the time when the mountain-goat will give birth? Or can you anticipate the pangs of the gazelle? Hashem continued, "This wild goat is heartless towards her young. When she crouches for delivery, she goes up to the top of a mountain so that the young shall fall down and be killed, and I prepare an eagle to catch it in its wings and sets it before her, and if he were one second too soon or too late it would be killed. I do not confuse one moment with another, and shall I confuse Iyov and 'oyev'?"

Or can you anticipate the pangs of the gazelle? Hashem continued, "This gazelle has a narrow birth canal. When she crouches for delivery, I prepare a snake which bites her at the opening of the womb, and she delivers her offspring. Were it to bite her one second too soon or too late, she would die. I do not confuse one moment with another, and shall I confuse Iyov and 'oyev'?" Iyov speaks without knowledge, and his words are without wisdom. Rava concludes: From here we see regarding Iyov that a person cannot be held responsible for words that he utters while in distress. (16a1 – 16b1)

lyov's Friends

It is written: Now when Iyov's three friends heard of all this harm which was come upon him, each man came from his own place, Eliphaz the Teimanite, Bildad the Shuchite, and Tzophar the Naamasite. They met together to commiserate with him and to comfort him.

What does it mean: They met together? Rav Yehudah said in the name of Rav This teaches us that they all entered the city at the same time through one gate. And a Tanna taught in a Baraisa: Each one lived three hundred parsahs away from the other (and still they arrived simultaneously).

The Gemara asks: How did they find out (simultaneously) of Iyov's travails? - Some say that they each had crowns (on which a picture of each was engraved, and if harm came upon any one of them, the picture changed), and some say that they had had certain trees. When the tree that was named for that person withered, it was a sign to them.

Rava said: This bears out the popular saying: Either (one should have) friends like the friends of Iyov or death.

It is written: And it came to pass, when men began to propagate (larov) on the face of the earth, daughters were born to them. Rabbi Yochanan says: The word larov indicates that when the daughters were born, reviah (propagation) came into the world (for they mature at an earlier age). Rish Lakish says: It indicates that strife (merivah) came into the world.



Rish Lakish asked Rabbi Yochanan: According to your view that it means that propagation came into the world, why wasn't the number of Iyov's daughters doubled (just like his sons)?

He replied: Though they were not doubled in number, they were doubled in beauty, as it says: He also had fourteen sons and three daughters. And he called the name of the first Yemimah, and the name of the second Ketziah, and the name of the third Keren-Hapuch. -Yemimah, because she (her complexion) was like the day [yom]; Ketziah, because she emitted a fragrance like cassia [ketziah]; Keren-Hapuch because — so it was explained in the academy of Rabbi Shila — she was like the horn [keren] of a keresh. This explanation was mocked at in the West, [where it was pointed out that a complexion like] the horn of a keresh would be a blemish. [But what it should be], said Rav Chisda, [is], like garden saffron, which is the best of its kind. As it is said: Though you enlarge your eyes with cosmetics [puch]. (16b1 -16b2)

Daughters

A daughter was born to Rabbi Shimon the son of Rebbe, and he felt disappointed. His father said to him, "Propagation has come to the world." Bar Kappara said to him: Your father has given you a worthless consolation, for it was taught in a Baraisa: The world cannot function without either males or females. Yet happy is he whose children are males, and woe for him whose children are females (for he must always worry about their welfare). The world cannot survive without either a perfume seller or a tanner. Yet happy is he whose occupation is that of a perfume seller, and woe for him whose occupation is that of a tanner.

This issue is disputed amongst the Tannaim. It is written: Hashem had blessed Avraham with everything (bakol). What is meant by 'everything'? Rabbi Meir said: It was the fact that he had no daughter (for she would not have who to marry). Rabbi Yehudah said: It was the fact that he had a daughter. Others say that Avraham had a daughter whose name was Bakol. Rabbi Eliezer the Modiite said that Avraham possessed a power of astrology for which he was much sought after by the kings of the East and West. Rabbi Shimon ben Yochai said: Avraham had a precious stone hung round his neck which brought immediate healing to any sick person who looked on it, and when Avraham our father departed from this world, the Holy One, Blessed be He, suspended it in the sphere of the sun. Abaye said: This bears out the popular saying: As the sun is lifted, the illness lightens. (16b2 – 16b3)

Esav and Yishmael

Another explanation (in 'everything') is that Esav did not rebel so long as he was alive. Another explanation is that Yishmael repented while he was still alive.

How do we know that Esav did not rebel while he was alive? Because it says: And Esav came in from the field and he was weary. It has been taught in a Baraisa that it was on that day that Avraham our father died, and Yaakov our father made a broth of lentils to comfort his father Yitzchak.

Why was it of lentils? In Eretz Yisroel they said in the name of Rabbah bar Mari: Just as the lentil has no mouth (like other beans), so too the mourner has no mouth (for speech). Others say: Just as the lentil is round, so too mourning comes around to all the occupants of this world.

The Gemara asks: What practical difference is there between the two explanations?

The Gemara answers: The difference arises on the question whether we should comfort with eggs (for they have no mouth, but they are not round).



Rabbi Yochanan said: That wicked Esav committed five sins on that day. He had relations with a betrothed maiden; he committed a murder; he denied the existence of God; he denied the Resurrection of the Dead, and he rejected the birthright.

The Gemara cites the Scriptural verses as sources for the above. [We know that] he had relations with a betrothed maiden, because it is written here: And Esav came in from the field, and it is written in another place [in connection with the betrothed maiden]: He found her in the field. [We know that] he committed murder, because it is written here [that he was] faint, and it is written in another place: Woe is me now, for my soul is weary of those that murder. [We know that] he denied the existence of God, because it is written here: What benefit is this to me, and it is written in another place: This is my God and I will glorify Him. [We know that] he denied the Resurrection of the Dead because he said: Behold, I am on the way to die. Also that he rejected the birthright.

The Gemara asks: And from where do we know that Yishmael repented while Avraham was still alive?

The Gemara quotes a discussion which took place between Ravina and Rav Chama bar Buzi when they were once sitting before Rava while he was dozing. Ravina to Rav Chama bar Buzi: Are you certain that wherever the term gevi'ah is used in Scripture connection with the death of any person, it implies that that person died righteous? He replied: Yes. Ravina asked: But what then of the Generation of the Flood? Rav Chama answered: We only make this inference if both gevi'ah and asifah (gathering in) are mentioned. But, he asked, what of Yishmael, where both gevi'ah and asifah (gathering in) are mentioned? At this point Rava awoke and heard them. Children, he said, this is what Rabbi Yochanan has said: Yishmael repented in the lifetime of his father. We know this because it says: And Yitzchak and Yishmael his sons buried him. [This indicates that Yishmael allowed Yitzchak to precede him; this proves that he in fact repented.] -But perhaps the text arranges them in the order of their wisdom? — If that were so, then why in the verse: And Esav and Yaakov his sons buried him; are they not arranged in the order of their wisdom? What we have to say is that the fact of the text placing Yitzchak first shows that Yishmael made way for him, and from the fact that he made way for him we infer that he repented in Avraham's lifetime. (16b3 – 16b4)

INSIGHTS TO THE DAF

Se'udas Havra'ah

Our Gemara recounts that Yaakov Avinu prepared the stew, with which he bought his brother's firstborn rights, for Yitzchak to comfort him after Avraham's demise.

The commentaries (see Rashi, Bereshis 25:30) explain that he brought the lentils as a se'udas havra'ah (recuperation meal) given to a mourner coming from burying his relative and the poskim learnt important halachos about this meal from our Gemara.

The Gemara in Moed Katan (27b) decides that a mourner must eat the "bread" of others at this first meal, not his own, and Shulchan 'Aruch rules accordingly (Y.D. 378:1).

Does "bread" mean any food or is the term restricted to actual bread alone? Chochmas Shlomo and 'Aroch HaShulchan (Y.D. 378) hold that a mourner may eat his own food aside from bread but Shevet Yehudah (378) and the Chida (Yafeh LaLev, VIII, 378) assert that he must eat nothing of his own and Kitzur Shulchan Aruch maintains that he must not even drink his own coffee.

Why is a mourner forbidden to eat his own food at the se'udas havra'ah?

- 5 -



According to Divrei Soferim (25:23), the above difference of opinions depends on the reason for the Talmudic regulation that a mourner must not eat his own food for his first meal. The Rosh, cited in Beis Yosef (Y.D., ibid), holds that a mourner is so despondent that he neglects to care for himself. Chazal therefore decreed that he must not prepare his first meal, causing others to bring him food and comfort him (Responsa Igros Moshe, Y.D. II, 168).

Shevet Yehudah, though, maintains that Chazal wanted to prevent a mourner from eating a full meal and neglect his mourning and therefore limited him to eating what others bring, assuming their contributions would not be excessive. Hence, Shevet Yehudah forbade a mourner to eat anything of his own, avoiding any possibility of his eating a full meal.

The Acharonim (Divrei Soferim, ibid, 27) emphasize the Tur, who quotes our sugya that the meal is intended to "comfort the mourner" – i.e., to hearten him but not to prevent his overeating.

The Rosh (Moed Katan, Ch. 3, §84) adds that a husband must not serve his wife a se'udas havra'ah for two reasons. Being that he must support her as her husband, she acquires the food he serves her and it is not regarded as another's. Moreover, they always eat together and the food would not appear as if brought by others.

May a son supported by his father bring him a se'udas havra'ah from his own (the son's) food? According to the first reason he may do so as the food does not belong to his father. Worrying about appearances (maris 'ayin), though, the son must not, as anyone who knows that his father supports him and sees him serving is sure the food is his fathers.

- 6 -

The Acharonim prove, once again from our sugya, that the first reason of the Rosh forbidding a husband to serve se'udas havra'ah is halachically valid: Yaakov was supported by Yitzchak yet he brought him the stew which, as mentioned, was a se'udas havra'ah (Ruach Chayim by HaGaon Rav Chayim Falaji, 378).

DAILY MASHAL

"Hinei onochi holeich lomus v'lomoh zeh li b'choroh" -Behold I am going to die so what benefit is there for me of the primogeniture - Rashi comments that this was actually a response to Yaakov's salesmanship of the inherent risks of being a Kohein. He detailed to Esav all the services that if done incorrectly carry with them the death penalty. Esav then said that the responsibilities would bring him to die, so it was not worth it.

The Ibn Ezra explains these words of our verse in a simple, cogent, and very compelling manner. Esav said that since he was a wild game hunter, a very high-risk occupation, he would most likely be killed on the job and not outlive his father. The likelihood of his taking over the priestly status was close to nil, so he readily sold his entitlement to Yaakov. Why does Rashi explain our verse in a manner that requires us to read between the lines and add that Yaakov first told Esav the extreme risks of being a Kohein, when he could have simply explained it as does the Ibn Ezra?

Perhaps Rashi is bothered with the words in verse 34, "Va'yivez Esav es habchoroh," and Esav cheapened the primogeniture right. After Esav said "hinei onochi holeich lomus," and that this made the "b'choroh" insignificant to him, in which way did he cheapen it? As well, why is this stated here and not earlier at the point of the completion of the sale in verse 33, right after "va'yimkor es b'choroso l'Yaakov?"



Rashi alleviates these issues with his explanation. If we say that Esav is responding to Yaakov's warning him of putting his life in jeopardy by remaining a b'chor, although this is not implicit in the verse, it is very well understood how Esav demeaned the "b'choroh." For the pursuit of "ruchniyos" he wasn't willing to risk his life, but for hunting and capturing wildlife he was. This is truly a demeaning of the "b'choroh," and it was not the sale itself that demonstrated his cheapening of his first-born's entitlement. It was that immediately after the transaction and grabbing a meal to refresh himself that "va'yokom va'yeilach," he stood up and went - went where? - right back to hunting, that he demonstrated his total disrespect for the "b'choroh." (n.l.)

Rabbi Zvi Akiva Fleisher comments: We could well take a lesson from this insight and apply it to our daily lives. Many years ago there came a man to our community to claimed to have the power to look into your life and advise you. His medium was looking into your mezuzoh or your tefillin scripts. As a sofer, I received mezuzos and tefillin parshios that he looked at, and was asked to reroll the mezuzos and place the scripts into the tefillin housings. I found some of the mezuzos that he said were not kosher, to be kosher, and also the reverse, and the same with tefillin. One day he and his attendant, who knew I was a sofer, bumped into me. After exchanging niceties, I questioned his need to have the petitioner's "kisvei kodesh" in his hands to tell the person some personal matters in his/her life to gain his confidence before launching into a formula for success, etc. His response to me was that his special "talent" required no "kisvai hakodesh." He simply knew that "people were eating out of his hand," so he took advantage of the opportunity to get people to replace improper mezuzoh and tefillin scripts. He felt that there was an unacceptably high percentage of non-kosher scripts in people's possession.

Getting to the point: His "kabolas tzibur" hours were posted in various places, and as mentioned before, some people came to me afterwards for sofer services. More than one person mentioned to me that the waiting lines were extremely long, as was the waiting time. One person asked me if I had gone to him, to which I responded that I did not, and also had no intention of doing so. I could see on the person's face that he felt that I was slighting the "chacham" and for that matter him, for going there and waiting so long. To assuage his hurt, I simply said that many times there are great "talmidei chachomim" and holy Admorim who come to our community and the lines there are likewise quite long, and I did not go, not wanting to wait long hours. I said that I would be cooking my own goose if I were to go to this ESP chacham. After 120 years I would be taken to task for not taking the opportunity to see so-and-so, a great Admor, a great talmid chochom, etc. I figure that I would respond that I simply wasn't ready to spend long hours waiting in line, pushing, shoving, etc. Then a prosecuting angel would surely appear and say, "Zvi Akiva, you spent ... hours in line to speak with an ESP'nik." What would I answer then? This actual scenario and the like, which happen many, many times in our life, will not stand in our good stead. Don't say, "I am afraid to risk my life for spirituality," and then go out into the wild forest to hunt lions. This is true "Va'yivez Esav es habchoroh."

- 7 -